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Use Case Specification: Track Outcomes

# Use-Case Name – Track Outcomes

## Brief Description

The Senior Director, Assistant Director, or Program Directors input outcome expectations from results obtained through Participant Satisfaction surveys. They also review and analyze results from JCPS.

# Flow of Events

## Basic Flow

1. The use case starts when Louisville Urban League has generated enrollment for a program or event.
2. The Senior Director, Assistant Director, or Program Directors specifies program within system and inputs outcome expectations generated from Outcomes Meeting.
3. The system saves outcomes according to program specified.
4. Participant Satisfaction survey generated by system.
5. Students/Parents/Guardians complete Participant Satisfaction survey.
6. System uploads results to outcomes report according to program.
7. The Senior Director or Assistant Director evaluate and analyze outcomes.
8. Use Case ends.

## Alternative Flows

None.

# Special Requirements

None.

# Pre-conditions

## Pre-condition One

1. Program must have enrollees.

# Post-conditions

**5.1 Post-condition One**

1. System retains results obtained through Participation Satisfaction surveys.

**5.2 Post-condition Two**

2. System retains results obtained through JCPS.